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#### Abstract

We study the existence of solutions for the nonlinear elliptic system $\Delta u+g(u)=f(x)$, where $g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash S, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $S$ is a bounded set of singularities. Using topological degree methods, we prove existence results. We analyze in particular the case in which $S=\{0\}$ and the isolated singularity is of a repulsive nature, by approximating problems and prove that if an appropriate Nirenberg type condition holds then the problem has a solution.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ a smooth bounded domain. We consider the following elliptic system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta u+g(u) & =f(x) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\
u & =C & \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} d S & =0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $C \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ a yet to be determined constant vector, $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ continuous and $g: \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash S \rightarrow R^{N}$ continuous, with $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ bounded. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\bar{f}:=\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(x) d x=0$

The particular case $S=\{0\}$ was extensively studied in the literature: for example, several results when $d=1$ can be found in [5], [6] and [11], among other works.

The nonlocal boundary conditions in (1) have been studied by Berestycki and Brézis in [4] and also by Ortega in [9]. They arise from certain models in plasma physics: specifically, a model describing the equilibrium of a
plasma confined in a toroidal cavity, called a Tokamak machine. A detailed description of this problem can be found in the appendix of [12].

Note that when $d=1$ and $\Omega=(a, b)$, the system reads:

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+g(u)=p(t), \quad t \in(a, b) .
$$

In this framework, the boundary conditions can be interpreted as follows:

$$
u=C \text { on } \partial \Omega \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(a)=u(b) ; \quad \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} d S=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u^{\prime}(a)=u^{\prime}(b) .
$$

Hence, for $d>1$ the nonlocal boundary condition in (1) can be seen as a generalization of the well known periodic conditions.

The case $d=1$ has been studied by the authors in [3]. Using topological degree methods it was proved that if the nonlinearity $g: \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is continuous, repulsive at the origin and bounded at infinity, and an appropriate Nirenberg type condition [8] holds, then either the problem has a classical solution, or else there exists a family of solutions of perturbed problems that converges uniformly and weakly in $H^{1}$ to some limit function $u$. Furthermore, if the singularity is strong (in a sense that will be explained below), then $u$ is nontrivial and it can be shown, under extra assumptions, that the problem has always a classical solution.

In this work, we shall consider two different problems. In the next section we shall allow the (bounded) set $S$ of singularities to be arbitrary and focus our attention on the behavior of the nonlinear term $g$ over the boundary of an appropriate domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash S$. More precisely, we shall assume the boundedness condition
(B) $\quad \lim \sup _{|u| \rightarrow \infty}|g(u)|<\infty$
and introduce a condition of geometric nature that involves the geodesic distance on $\Omega$, namely:

$$
d(x, y):=\inf \left\{\operatorname{lenght}(\gamma): \gamma \in C^{1}([0,1], \Omega): \gamma(0)=x, \gamma(1)=y\right\} .
$$

Indeed, we shall fix a compact neighborhood $\mathcal{C}$ of $S$ and a number

$$
\begin{equation*}
r:=k \operatorname{diam}_{d}(\Omega)\left(\|f\|_{\infty}+\sup _{u \notin \mathcal{C}}|g(u)|\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a constant such that

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{\infty} \leq k\|\Delta u\|_{\infty}
$$

for all $u \in C^{2}\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying the nonlocal boundary conditions of (1). Then we shall assume, for a certain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\left(\mathcal{C}+\overline{B_{r}}(0)\right)$ :
$\left(D_{1}\right)$ For all $v \in \partial D, 0 \notin c o\left(g\left(B_{r}(v)\right)\right)$, where ' $c o(X)$ ' stands for the convex hull of a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
$\left(D_{2}\right) \operatorname{deg}(g, D, 0) \neq 0$.
Condition $\left(D_{1}\right)$ was introduced by Ruiz and Ward in [10] and extended in [2] by the first author and Clapp. It generalizes a classical condition given by Nirenberg in [8] which, in particular, implies that $g$ cannot rotate around the origin when $|u|$ is large. Condition $\left(D_{1}\right)$ is weaker: it allows $g$ to rotate, although not too fast since $r$ cannot be arbitrarily small.

The main result in Section 2 reads as follows:
Theorem 1.1 Let $g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash S, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying (B) and $f \in C\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\bar{f}=0$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a compact neighborhood of $S$ and let $r$ be as in (2). If there exists a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\left(\mathcal{C}+\overline{B_{r}}(0)\right)$ such that $\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $\left(D_{2}\right)$ hold, then (1) has at least one solution $u$ with $\bar{u} \in D$ and $\|u-\bar{u}\|_{\infty}<r$.

In Section 3 we study the case in which $S$ consists in a single point; without loss of generality, it may be assumed $S=\{0\}$. We shall focus our attention on the way $g$ behaves near the singular point. In first place, we shall assume that $g$ is repulsive, namely:
(Rep) There exists $c>0$ such that $\langle g(u), u\rangle<0$ for $0<|u|<c$.
Furthermore, it will be assumed that $g$ is sequentially strongly repulsive, in the following sense:
(Seq) There exists a sequence $r_{n} \searrow 0$ such that.

$$
\sup _{|u|=r_{n}}\left\langle g(u), \frac{u}{|u|}\right\rangle \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

We shall proceed as follows: firstly, we shall prove existence of at least one solution of an approximated problem. Next, we shall obtain accurate estimates and deduce the existence of a convergent sequence of these solutions.

In order to define the approximated problems, fix a sequence $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+g_{n}(u)=f(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the nonlocal boundary conditions of (1). Although more general perturbations are admitted, for convenience we shall define $g_{n}$ by

$$
g_{n}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
g(u) & |u| \geq \varepsilon_{n}  \tag{4}\\
\rho_{n}(|u|) g\left(\varepsilon_{n} \frac{u}{|u|}\right) & 0<|u|<\varepsilon_{n} \\
0 & u=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\rho_{n}:\left[0, \varepsilon_{n}\right] \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ continuous such that $\rho_{n}(0)=0, \rho_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)=1$.
The conditions on $g$ shall be, as before, of geometric nature. However, a stronger assumption is needed in order to obtain uniform estimates. A similar condition has been introduced by one of the authors and De Nápoli in [1] and has been employed also in [3] for a system of singular periodic ordinary differential equations:
$\left(P_{1}\right)$ There exists a family $\mathcal{F}=\left\{\left(U_{j}, w_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=1, \ldots, J}$, where $\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j=1, \ldots, J}$ is an open cover of $S^{N-1}$, constants $c_{j}>0$ and $w_{j} \in S^{N-1}$, such that for $j=1, \ldots, K$ :

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle g(r u), w_{j}\right\rangle \leq-c_{j}
$$

uniformly for $u \in U_{j}$.
On the other hand, we shall take advantage of the repulsiveness condition (Seq), which ensures that the degree over certain small balls centered at the origin is $(-1)^{N}$. Thus, $\left(D_{2}\right)$ shall be replaced by
$\left(P_{2}\right)$ There exists a $R_{0}>0$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(g, B_{R}, 0\right) \neq(-1)^{N}$ for $r \geq R_{0}$.
The preceding conditions will allow us to construct a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of solutions of the approximated problems that converges weakly in $H^{1}$ to some function $u$. It is easy to see that if $u$ does not vanish on $\Omega$, then $u$ is a classical solution of the problem. If $u \not \equiv 0$ but possibly vanishes in $\Omega$, then we shall call it a generalized solution. With this idea in mind, let us introduce a stronger repulsiveness condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0}\langle g(u), u\rangle=-\infty \tag{SR}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now state the main result of Section 3:
Theorem 1.2 Let $g: \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be continuous satisfying (B), (Rep), (Seq) and let $f \in C\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\bar{f}=0$. Suppose that $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(P_{2}\right)$ hold and let $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ be as in (4). Then there exist $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ solutions of (3), a positive constant $\tilde{r}$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \geq \tilde{r}$ and a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ that converges weakly in $H^{1}$ to some function $u$. If furthermore $(S R)$ is assumed, then $u$ is a generalized solution of the problem.

Remark 1.3 All the preceding results can be reproduced similarly for the Neumann boundary conditions.

## 2 The general case. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $U=\left\{u \in C\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right):\|u-\bar{u}\|_{\infty}<r, \bar{u} \in D\right\}$ and consider, for $\lambda \in(0,1]$, the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta u+\lambda \hat{g}(u) & =\lambda f(x) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{5}\\
u & =C & \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} d S & =0, &
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\hat{g}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is continuous and bounded with $\hat{g}=g$ over $\overline{D+B_{r}(0)}$. It is clear that if $u \in \bar{U}$ solves (5) for $\lambda=1$ then $u$ is a solution of (1). Thus, from the standard continuation methods [7] it suffices to prove that (5) has no solutions on $\partial U$ for $0<\lambda<1$.

Indeed, if $u \in \partial U$ is a solution of (5), then $\bar{u} \in \bar{D}$ and $\|u-\bar{u}\|_{\infty} \leq r$, so $\hat{g} \circ u=g \circ u$. As $\operatorname{dist}(\bar{u}, \mathcal{C}) \geq r$, we deduce that $u(x) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^{N}-\mathcal{C}}$ and hence $|g(u(x))| \leq \sup _{z \notin \mathcal{C}}|g(z)|$ for all $x$. This implies

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{\infty} \leq k\|\Delta u\|_{\infty}<k\left(\|f\|_{\infty}+\sup _{z \notin \mathcal{C}}|g(z)|\right),
$$

and thus

$$
\|u-\bar{u}\|_{\infty} \leq \operatorname{diam}_{d}(\Omega)\|\nabla u\|_{\infty}<r .
$$

Hence, $\bar{u} \in \partial D$. Moreover, it follows from the mean value theorem for vector integrals that

$$
\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} g(u(x)) d x \in \operatorname{co}(g(u(\bar{\Omega}))) \subset \operatorname{co}\left(g\left(B_{r}(\bar{u})\right)\right) .
$$

On the other hand, simple integration shows that

$$
\int_{\Omega} g(u(x)) d x=0
$$

so $0 \in \operatorname{co}\left(g\left(B_{r}(\bar{u})\right)\right)$, a contradiction.
Remark 2.1 In this framework, taking $S=\emptyset$ we obtain the main result in [10] for the non-singular case, conveniently adapted to our problem.

Remark 2.2 After a more accurate computation of the a priori estimates, the preceding theorem can be extended for $g$ sublinear, namely, for $g$ satisfying:

$$
\lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(u)}{|u|}=0 .
$$

Let us show an example that illustrates the possibility of obtaining multiple solutions. For convenience, let us call $B_{\rho}:=B_{\rho}(0)=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u|<\rho\right\}$.

Example 2.3 Let $A: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be continuous and bounded, $a=\|A\|_{\infty}$ and $b>0$. Define $g(u)=\frac{A(u)}{|u|(b-|u|)}$, so $S=\{0\} \cup \partial B_{b}$. Let $\eta>0$ and consider the following compact set:

$$
\mathcal{C}=\overline{B_{\eta}} \cup\left(\overline{B_{b+\eta}} \backslash B_{b-\eta}\right) .
$$

Hence, $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \mathcal{C}=\left(B_{b-\eta} \backslash \overline{B_{\eta}}\right) \cup\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \overline{B_{b+\eta}}\right)$. From the previous computations, the following estimate holds:

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{\infty} \leq K:=k\left(\|f\|_{\infty}+\frac{a}{\eta(b+\eta)}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
r=\operatorname{diam}_{d}(\Omega) k\left(\|f\|_{\infty}+\frac{a}{\eta(b+\eta)}\right) .
$$

If also $b>2(r+\eta)$, then we might be able to obtain two disjoint sets $D^{1}, D^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\left(\mathcal{C}+B_{r}\right)$ such that:

$$
D^{1} \subset B_{b-\eta-r} \backslash B_{\eta+r}, \quad D^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{b+\eta+r}
$$

leading to two different solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}$ with $\overline{u_{1}} \in D^{1}$ and $\overline{u_{2}} \in D^{2}$ respectively.

In order to apply our previous result, observe that condition $\left(D_{1}\right)$ requires $\eta+2 r<b-\eta-2 r$, that is: $b>4 r+2 \eta$.

For example, let $T>0$ be large enough and define $g: B_{b+T} \backslash S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ by

$$
g(u):=\frac{\left(|u|-x_{1}\right)\left(|u|-x_{2}\right) u}{|u|(|u|-b)}
$$

for some numbers $x_{1}, x_{2}>0$. The numerator of this function can be extended continuously to $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash S$ in such a way that $a \leq(b+T)^{3}$. Taking diam $(\Omega)$ small enough, the preceding inequalities for $r$ are satisfied, so we may fix $x_{1} \in(\eta+2 r, b-\eta-2 r)$ and $x_{2} \in(b+\eta+2 r, b+T-2 r)$.

Thus, all the assumptions are satisfied for $D^{1}$ and $D^{2}$; hence, by Theorem 1.1 we deduce the existence of classical solutions $u^{1} \neq u^{2}$ of problem (1) such that $\overline{u^{i}} \in D^{i}$, for $i=1,2$.

Remark 2.4 This example shows that the if the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are verified, then the distance between different conected components of $S$ cannot be too small.

## 3 The case $S=\{0\}$

Before giving a proof of Theorem 1.2, let us make some comments on the concept of generalized solution. Let $u_{n}$ be a weak solution of (3) such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $H^{1}$. From the equality

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Delta u_{n} \varphi+\int_{\Omega} g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) \varphi=\int_{\Omega} f \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in H
$$

we deduce that the operator $A: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ given by

$$
A \varphi=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) \varphi
$$

is well defined and continuous, that is: $A \in H^{-1}$. In fact,

$$
A \varphi=\int_{\Omega} f \varphi d x+\sum_{j=1} \nabla u^{j} \nabla \varphi^{j} d x
$$

so we may regard it as a pair $(f, \nabla u) \in H^{-1}$, namely

$$
A \varphi:=(f, \nabla u)[\varphi] .
$$

Thus, we are able to define the operator $\mathcal{G}: H \rightarrow H^{-1}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}(u):=(f, \nabla u) ; \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \mathcal{G}(u)[\varphi]=A \varphi . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in [3], it is always possible to find approximations in such a way that $u \equiv 0$, this is why we need to exclude this case in the definition of generalized solution.

Also, observe that if $u$ does not vanish in $\Omega$ then for any $\varphi \in H$ then

$$
\mathcal{G}(u)[\varphi]=A \varphi=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega} g(u) \varphi d x
$$

So a generalized solution can be regarded as a nontrivial distributional solution of the equation

$$
\Delta u+\mathcal{G}(u)=f .
$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, firstly let us state an existence result for the approximated problems.

Proposition 3.1 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ a bounded $C^{2}$ domain. Let $g: \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be continuous satisfying $(B),($ Rep $),(S e q)$ and let $f \in C\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\bar{f}=0$. Suppose that $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and $\left(P_{2}\right)$ hold and let $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ be as in (4). Then there exist $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ solutions of (3) and a constant $\tilde{r}>0$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \geq \tilde{r}$.

Proof:
Fix $\tilde{r}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle g(u), \frac{u}{|u|}\right\rangle+\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}<0 \text { for }|u|=\tilde{r} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, we shall apply the continuation method, now over the set

$$
U:=\left\{u \in C\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \tilde{r}<\|u\|_{\infty}<R\right\}
$$

for some $R>\tilde{r}$ to be specified.
Suppose that for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$ there exists $u \in \partial U$ a solution of (5).
If $\|u\|_{\infty}=\tilde{r}$, then we may fix $x_{0}$ such that $\|u\|_{\infty}=\left|u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|=\tilde{r}$ and define $\phi(x):=\frac{|u(x)|^{2}}{2}$.

For $x_{0} \in \Omega$, it is seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\left|\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left\langle u\left(x_{0}\right), \Delta u\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle \geq\left\langle u\left(x_{0}\right), f\left(x_{0}\right)-g\left(u\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right\rangle= \\
&=\lambda \lambda\left[\left\langle u\left(x_{0}\right), f\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle-\left|u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\left\langle g\left(u\left(x_{0}\right)\right), \frac{u\left(x_{0}\right)}{\left|u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}\right\rangle\right] \geq \\
& \geq \tilde{r}\left[-\|f\|_{\infty}-\left\langle g\left(u\left(x_{0}\right)\right), \frac{u\left(x_{0}\right)}{\left|u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}\right\rangle\right]>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
If $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, then $\tilde{r}=|C|$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} d S=\int_{\partial \Omega}\left\langle u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right\rangle d S=\left\langle C, \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} d S\right\rangle=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the continuity of $\phi$, arguing as before we deduce that, $\Delta \phi>0$ in $B_{2 \delta}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap \Omega$ for some $\delta>0$.

From the standard regularity theory, it follows that $u \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$. Moreover, we may consider a $C^{2}$ domain $\Omega_{0} \subset \Omega$ such that $B_{\delta} \cap \Omega \subset \Omega_{0}$ and $\Omega_{0} \subset B_{2 \delta} \cap \Omega$; then $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)>\phi(x)$ for every $x \in \Omega_{0}$, and from Hopf's Lemma we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}\left(x_{0}\right)>0 .
$$

As $u \equiv C$ on the boundary, then $|u(x)| \equiv \tilde{r}$ and so $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}(x)>0$ for each $x \in \partial \Omega$. This contradicts (8) and thus $\|u\|_{\infty}=R$.

For $n$ large, it follows that $\|u-\bar{u}\|_{\infty}<r$ and from condition $\left(P_{1}\right)$ we deduce $\left(D_{1}\right)$ for $D=B_{R}(0)$ when $R$ is sufficiently large. As in Theorem 1.1, a contradiction yields.

Finally, observe that the repulsiveness condition implies that the degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{n}, B_{\tilde{r}}, 0\right)=(-1)^{N}$ so, by the excision property of the degree, condition $\left(P_{2}\right)$ ensures that $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{n}, U \cap \mathbb{R}^{N}, 0\right) \neq 0$ and so completes the proof.

The following Lemma shows that the solutions of the perturbed problems are also bounded for the $H^{1}$ norm.

Lemma 3.2 In the situation of Proposition 3.1, there exists a constant $\mathfrak{C}$ independent of $n$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq \mathfrak{C}$ for all $n$.

Proof:
$\overline{\text { As } \Delta} u_{n}+g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)=f(x)$ in $\Omega$ and $u_{n} \equiv C_{n}$ on $\partial \Omega$, we may multiply by $u_{n}-C_{n}$ and integrate to obtain:

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Delta u_{n}+g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x=\int_{\Omega}\left\langle p, u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x .
$$

Integrating by parts, the left hand side is equal to:

$$
-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\partial \Omega}\left\langle\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial \nu}, u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d S+\int_{\Omega}\left\langle g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x
$$

As $u_{n} \equiv C_{n}$ on $\partial \Omega$, it follows that

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}\left\langle g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x-\int_{\Omega}\left\langle p, u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x .
$$

Now, taking absolute value and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq\left|\int_{\Omega}\left\langle g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x\right|+\|p\|_{L^{2}}\left\|u_{n}-C_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

Let $c$ be the constant in condition (Rep) and write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\Omega}\left\langle g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x\right| \leq & \left|\int_{\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|<c\right\}}\left\langle g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{\left\{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq c\right\}}\left\langle g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x\right| .
\end{aligned} .
$$

Fix $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n}<c$ for every $n \geq n_{0}$, then $g_{n}\left(u_{n}(x)\right)=g\left(u_{n}(x)\right)$ if $\left|u_{n}(x)\right|>c>\frac{1}{n}$ and hence on the one hand

$$
\left|\int_{\left\{\left|u_{n}\right| \geq c\right\}}\left\langle g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x\right| \leq|\Omega|^{1 / 2} \gamma_{c}\left\|u_{n}-C_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}},
$$

where $\gamma_{c}:=\sup _{|u|>c}|g(u)|$ and, on the other hand:

$$
\int_{\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|<c\right\}}\left\langle g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x \leq-\int_{\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|<c\right\}}\left\langle g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), C_{n}\right\rangle d x
$$

Moreover, as $\int_{\Omega} g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) d x=0$, we deduce that

$$
\int_{\left\{\left|u_{n}\right|<c\right\}}\left\langle g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x \leq\left\langle C_{n}, \int_{\{|u| \geq c\}} g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\rangle d x \leq|\Omega|^{1 / 2} \gamma_{c}\left|C_{n}\right| .
$$

Gathering all together,

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega}\left\langle g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-C_{n}\right\rangle d x\right| \leq|\Omega|^{1 / 2} \gamma_{c}\left(\left\|u_{n}-C_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left|C_{n}\right|\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \mathfrak{C}_{1}\left\|u_{n}-C_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\mathfrak{C}_{2}\left|C_{n}\right|
$$

for some constants $\mathfrak{C}_{1}, \mathfrak{C}_{2}$. Using Poincaré inequality, we deduce the existence of a constant $\mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \mathfrak{C}\left|C_{n}\right|
$$

and hence

$$
\left\|u_{n}-C_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \leq A+B\left|C_{n}\right| \quad \text { for some } A, B>0
$$

Suppose that $\left|C_{n}\right|$ is unbounded, then taking a subsequence (still denoted $C_{n}$ ) we may assume that $\left|C_{n}\right| \rightarrow+\infty, \frac{C_{n}}{\left|C_{n}\right|} \rightarrow \eta \in S^{N-1}$. From the inequality

$$
\left\|\frac{u_{n}-C_{n}}{\sqrt{\left|C_{n}\right|}}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \leq \frac{A}{\left|C_{n}\right|}+B \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}
$$

we may take again a subsequence and thus assume that $\frac{u_{n}-C_{n}}{\sqrt{\left|C_{n}\right|}}$ converges almost everywhere and weakly in $H^{1}$ to some $w \in H^{1}$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and fix $M$ large enough so that $\left|\Omega \backslash \Omega_{M}\right|<\varepsilon$, where

$$
\Omega_{M}:=\{x \in \Omega:|w(x)| \leq M\}
$$

Then $\frac{u_{n}-C_{n}}{\left|C_{n}\right|} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{u_{n}}{\left|u_{n}\right|} \rightarrow \eta$ almost everywhere in $\Omega_{M}$.
Fix $U_{k} \subset S^{N-1}$ as in (P1) such that $\eta \in U_{k}$, then writing

$$
\left\langle g\left(u_{n}(x)\right), w_{k}\right\rangle=\left\langle g\left(\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \frac{\left.u_{n}(x)\right)}{\left|u_{n}(x)\right|}\right), w_{k}\right\rangle
$$

we deduce that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle g\left(u_{n}(x)\right), w_{k}\right\rangle \leq-c_{k}
$$

a.e. in $\Omega_{M}$. Thus we obtain, from Fatou's Lemma:

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_{M}}\left\langle g\left(u_{n}(x)\right), w_{k}\right\rangle d x \leq \int_{\Omega_{M}} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle g\left(u_{n}(x)\right), w_{k}\right\rangle d x \leq-c_{k}\left|\Omega_{M}\right| .
$$

We may assume that $M \geq c$, then taking $\varepsilon<\frac{c_{k}|\Omega|}{\gamma_{c}}$ we conclude:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle g\left(u_{n}(x)\right), w_{k}\right\rangle d x \leq-c_{k}\left|\Omega_{M}\right|+\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{M}}\left\langle g\left(u_{n}(x)\right), w_{k}\right\rangle d x \\
\leq-c_{k}\left|\Omega_{M}\right|+\gamma_{c}\left|\Omega \backslash \Omega_{M}\right|<0
\end{gathered}
$$

which contradicts the fact that $\int_{\Omega} g\left(u_{n}(x)\right) d x=0$.
Proof of Theorem 1.2:
From the preceding results, there exists a sequence (still denoted $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ ) of solutions of the approximated problems converging a.e. and weakly in $H^{1}$ to some function $u$, and also such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \geq \tilde{r}$. It remains to prove that if (SR) holds then $u \not \equiv 0$.

Suppose that $u \equiv 0$, then from (3) we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Delta u_{n}(x), u_{n}(x)\right\rangle+\left\langle g\left(u_{n}(x)\right), u_{n}(x)\right\rangle d x=\int_{\Omega}\left\langle p(x), u_{n}(x)\right\rangle d x \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\Delta u_{n}(x), u_{n}(x)\right\rangle d x=-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}(x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

is bounded, and from (SR) an Fatou's Lemma we obtain

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle g\left(u_{n}(x)\right), u_{n}(x)\right\rangle d x \leq \int_{\Omega} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle g\left(u_{n}(x)\right), u_{n}(x)\right\rangle d x=-\infty
$$

a contradiction.
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