

Deformation quantization and Morita equivalence

Henrique Bursztyn (IMPA) and Stefan Waldmann (Freiburg)

Buenos Aires, 2010

Lecture 2 - Outline:

1. Deformation quantization (lecture 1 reminder)
2. Morita equivalence reminder
3. Morita equivalence of star products

1. Deformation quantization reminder (lecture 1)

M smooth manifold, $C^\infty(M)$ algebra of complex-valued functions

1. Deformation quantization reminder (lecture 1)

M smooth manifold, $C^\infty(M)$ algebra of complex-valued functions

Star product: associative product $\star$ on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$,

$$f \star g = fg + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r C_r(f, g),$$

where $C_r : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ bidifferential

(Bayen, Flato, Fronsdal, Lichnerowicz, Sternheimer, '1978)

1. Deformation quantization reminder (lecture 1)

M smooth manifold, $C^\infty(M)$ algebra of complex-valued functions

Star product: associative product $\star$ on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$,

$$f \star g = fg + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r C_r(f, g),$$

where $C_r : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ bidifferential

(Bayen, Flato, Fronsdal, Lichnerowicz, Sternheimer, '1978)

Poisson bracket: $\{f, g\} = \frac{1}{\hbar}[f, g]_* \Big|_{\hbar=0} = C_1(f, g) - C_1(g, f)$

1. Deformation quantization reminder (lecture 1)

M smooth manifold, $C^\infty(M)$ algebra of complex-valued functions

Star product: associative product $\star$ on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$,

$$f \star g = fg + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r C_r(f, g),$$

where $C_r : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ bidifferential

(Bayen, Flato, Fronsdal, Lichnerowicz, Sternheimer, '1978)

Poisson bracket: $\{f, g\} = \frac{1}{\hbar}[f, g]_* \Big|_{\hbar=0} = C_1(f, g) - C_1(g, f)$

Equivalence: $T(f \star g) = T(f) \star' T(g)$, where $T = Id + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r T_r$

1. Deformation quantization reminder (lecture 1)

M smooth manifold, $C^\infty(M)$ algebra of complex-valued functions

Star product: associative product $\star$ on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$,

$$f \star g = fg + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r C_r(f, g),$$

where $C_r : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ bidifferential

(Bayen, Flato, Fronsdal, Lichnerowicz, Sternheimer, '1978)

Poisson bracket: $\{f, g\} = \frac{1}{\hbar}[f, g]_* \Big|_{\hbar=0} = C_1(f, g) - C_1(g, f)$

Equivalence: $T(f \star g) = T(f) \star' T(g)$, where $T = Id + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r T_r$

Moduli of star products: $\text{Def}(M) = \{\star\} / \sim$

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]]$,

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]],$

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

Equivalence: $T\{f, g\}_{\hbar} = \{Tf, Tg\}'_{\hbar}, \quad T = \exp(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r X_r)$

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]],$

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

Equivalence: $T\{f, g\}_{\hbar} = \{Tf, Tg\}'_{\hbar}, \quad T = \exp(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r X_r)$

Moduli: $\text{FPois}(M) = \{\pi_{\hbar}, [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0\} / \sim$

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]]$,

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

Equivalence: $T\{f, g\}_{\hbar} = \{Tf, Tg\}'_{\hbar}, \quad T = \exp(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r X_r)$

Moduli: $\text{FPois}(M) = \{\pi_{\hbar}, [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0\} / \sim$

Consequence of Kontsevich's formality ('97):

There is 1-1 correspondence

$$\mathcal{K}_* : \text{FPois}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Def}(M), \quad [\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \dots] \mapsto [\star]$$

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]]$,

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

Equivalence: $T\{f, g\}_{\hbar} = \{Tf, Tg\}'_{\hbar}, \quad T = \exp(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r X_r)$

Moduli: $\text{FPois}(M) = \{\pi_{\hbar}, [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0\} / \sim$

Consequence of Kontsevich's formality ('97):

There is 1-1 correspondence

$$\mathcal{K}_* : \text{FPois}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Def}(M), \quad [\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \dots] \mapsto [\star]$$

such that $\frac{1}{\hbar}[f, g]_{\star} \Big|_{\hbar=0} = \pi_1(df, dg).$

Formal Poisson structures: $\pi_{\hbar} \in \hbar \mathcal{X}^2(M)[[\hbar]],$

$$\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \hbar^2 \pi_2 + \dots, \text{ such that } [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0.$$

Equivalence: $T\{f, g\}_{\hbar} = \{Tf, Tg\}'_{\hbar}, \quad T = \exp(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^r X_r)$

Moduli: $\text{FPois}(M) = \{\pi_{\hbar}, [\pi_{\hbar}, \pi_{\hbar}] = 0\} / \sim$

Consequence of Kontsevich's formality ('97):

There is 1-1 correspondence

$$\mathcal{K}_* : \text{FPois}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Def}(M), \quad [\pi_{\hbar} = \hbar \pi_1 + \dots] \mapsto [\star]$$

such that $\frac{1}{\hbar}[f, g]_{\star} \Big|_{\hbar=0} = \pi_1(df, dg).$

Problem: When are two star products Morita equivalent ?

2. Morita equivalence reminder

2. Morita equivalence reminder

$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ unital k -algebras,

2. Morita equivalence reminder

$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ unital k -algebras,

$\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are **Morita equivalent** if exists invertible bimodule ${}_B X_{\mathcal{A}}$

2. Morita equivalence reminder

$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ unital k -algebras,

$\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are **Morita equivalent** if exists invertible bimodule ${}_B X_{\mathcal{A}}$

Equivalences of representations $\mathcal{A}\text{--Mod} \cong \mathcal{B}\text{--Mod}$

2. Morita equivalence reminder

$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ unital k -algebras,

$\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are **Morita equivalent** if exists invertible bimodule ${}_B X_{\mathcal{A}}$

Equivalences of representations $\mathcal{A}\text{--Mod} \cong \mathcal{B}\text{--Mod}$

Morita self-equivalences = $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A})$

2. Morita equivalence reminder

$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ unital k -algebras,

$\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are **Morita equivalent** if exists invertible bimodule ${}_B X_{\mathcal{A}}$

Equivalences of representations $\mathcal{A}\text{-Mod} \cong \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod}$

Morita self-equivalences = $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A})$

Morita theorem: characterization of equivalence bimodules.

2. Morita equivalence reminder

$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ unital k -algebras,

$\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are **Morita equivalent** if exists invertible bimodule ${}_B X_{\mathcal{A}}$

Equivalences of representations $\mathcal{A}\text{--Mod} \cong \mathcal{B}\text{--Mod}$

Morita self-equivalences = $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{A})$

Morita theorem: characterization of equivalence bimodules.

When are two star products $\star, \star'$ Morita equivalent?

3. Morita equivalence of star products

3. Morita equivalence of star products

f.g.p. modules over $(C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \star)$?

3. Morita equivalence of star products

f.g.p. modules over $(C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \star)$?

There is a canonical action (B., '02)

$$\Phi : \text{Pic}(M) \times \text{Def}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}(M), \quad (L, \star) \mapsto \Phi_L(\star)$$

3. Morita equivalence of star products

f.g.p. modules over $(C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \star)$?

There is a canonical action (B., '02)

$$\Phi : \text{Pic}(M) \times \text{Def}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}(M), \quad (L, \star) \mapsto \Phi_L(\star)$$

Theorem

Star products $\star$ and $\star'$ are Morita equivalent iff $[\star], [\star']$ lie in the same $\text{Diff}(M) \ltimes \text{Pic}(M)$ -orbit:

$$[\star'] = \Phi_L([\star_\varphi])$$

3. Morita equivalence of star products

f.g.p. modules over $(C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \star)$?

There is a canonical action (B., '02)

$$\Phi : \text{Pic}(M) \times \text{Def}(M) \rightarrow \text{Def}(M), \quad (L, \star) \mapsto \Phi_L(\star)$$

Theorem

Star products $\star$ and $\star'$ are Morita equivalent iff $[\star], [\star']$ lie in the same $\text{Diff}(M) \ltimes \text{Pic}(M)$ -orbit:

$$[\star'] = \Phi_L([\star_\varphi])$$

works also purely algebraically...

What comes next ?

What comes next ?

$$\check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \circlearrowleft \text{FPois}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_*} \text{Def}(M) \circlearrowleft \text{Pic}(M) = \check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$$

Description of ME star products via characteristic classes?

Poisson-geometric counterpart of algebraic ME of quantum algebras?

Some references:

- ◇ B., Waldmann: *Deformation quantization of Hermitian vector bundles*, Lett. Math. Phys. 53.4 (2000), 349–365
- ◇ B.: *Semiclassical geometry of quantum line bundles and Morita equivalence of star products*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices , 16 (2002) 821–846.
- ◇ B., Waldmann: *Bimodule deformations, Picard groups and contravariant connections*, K-Theory 31 (2004), 1-37.